Automated Pavement Distress: Proven Technology and Procedure

Paul Harbin P.Eng. Vice President, Engineering Fugro-Roadware



Automated Pavement Distress

- Why?
 - Safe
 - Objective
 - Repeatable



History

- Data Acquisition
 - Lasers
 - Areas scan cameras
 - Line scan
 - 3D imaging?



... History

- Processing
 - RISC processors
 - Standard workstation using NT & XP
 - FPGA
 - Standard workstation using XP & Vista
 - More sophisticated algorithms & increased image size & resolution



... History

- Testing and Evaluation
 - Limitations vs. Capabilities
 - Emphasis on what CAN'T be done instead of what CAN be done
 - Delayed acceptance and implementation
 - Good or bad?



<u>Now ...</u>

- Proven
 - Used world wide (public and private agencies)
 - Many 100's of thousands miles processed



Key Components

Image Acquisition

Image Processing

Quality Assurance & Quality Control

 About procedures and processes as much as it is about the product



Image Coverage

- 4 m (13 ft) lane width
- 100% continuous imaging at 62 mph

- Analog → Digital → Line scan cameras
- $3 \rightarrow 2 \rightarrow 1$ mm pixel resolution
- $0.16 \rightarrow 0.48 \rightarrow 1.6$ GB per mile





Image Capture

Real-time stitching
 Longitudinally
 Transversely

 Continuous coverage saved in 52.8 ft intervals





Image Capture

Real-time stitching
 Longitudinally
 Transversely

 Continuous coverage saved in 52.8 ft intervals



Lighting

Eliminate shadows

- Trees
- Building
- Signs
- Cast shadows
 In the cracks
 Provide contrast

Assumption: For Crack Detection

Crack – continuous black line(s)

Is this assumption true?
 Yes for most cases
 No for some cases

Illumination Angle

Light



| $h = w * tg (\theta)$ | | | | | |
|-----------------------|--------|--------|--------------------|--|--|
| ₩ ⊡ | 1 (mm) | 2 (mm) | 3 (mm) | | |
| 30° | 0.58 | 1.16 | 1.74 | | |
| 45° | 1 | 2 | 3 | | |
| 64° | 2.05 | 4.10 | <mark>6</mark> .15 | | |



Crack is filled with dirt / dust.





Crack edges are worn or missing.



In images we get ...

Less than actual crack size (smaller in width, if it is smaller than pixel size, it tends to be broken)

Less contrast (blurry, hard to detected)







Surface cracking



- Mostly found on rough texture surface (heavily broken in the figure).
- Sometimes, the crack can be seen in ROW, but not in pavement video.

Other difficulties



Rough texture surface



Visibility and detection is difficult & variable

- Random direction of cracks vs. directional lighting.
- Random depth of cracks vs. random shadows representing crack width.
- Random missing crack edge vs. random shadows representing crack width.



What does this mean?

- Difficult to detect wide cracks
- Difficult to detect narrow cracks
- Difficult to detect cracks without depth

Crack detection is a DIFFICULT task



Image Processing

ROADWA

Infrastructure Data Collection Solutions

Automated crack detection

Classification

- Rating
- QA / QC

<u>WiseCrax</u>

Detailed crack attributes

- Location
- Type
- Severity
- Extent
- Density
- 100% Coverage
- Flexible classification scheme



| Road Section | i | | | | × |
|----------------------|------------|-----------|---------------------|-------------|--------|
| Collection Inf | o | | | | |
| Project No. | | | Section No. | 107001 | |
| Highway | VT | | Truck 1729 | | |
| File | 29HØWH | 100 | Run #: | | |
| Date | 091702 | | | | |
| Lane 49 | Directio | n 54 | Chainage in | Millimile | is 💌 |
| Section Dimensons | | | | | |
| Section Wid | th (m) | 4 | Lane Wi | dth (m) | 3.2 |
| Axle Width (m) 1.5 | | 1.5 | Lane Center (m) 1.8 | | 1.8 |
| Wheel Path | Width (m) | 0.75 | Edge Wi | dth (m) | 0.75 |
| Image Direc | tory | C:\wx\ima | iges | | Select |
| Rating Scheme FHWA03 | | • | E | dit Schemes | |
| Save Setting | gs as defa | ult | Cano | el | OK |

Project Set-up

- Road zones
 - Lane width (automatic)
 - WP widths
 - Edge width
 - Etc.
- Rating scheme
 - Select
 - Edit

Infrastructure Data Collection Solutions

22

ROADWA

| Crack Detection Box |
|---|
| Detection Parameters |
| 3mmDefault001 Save Settings |
| Smooth Smear N-S Smear W-E Sample <> |
| Contrast 5500 Min 20 Resist. Max 20 Stub length 50 Definition 10 Min crack 70 Width Factor |
| Target Area Start Row 0 Height 480 Whole Image |
| ☐ Incremental detect ✓ Prompt on delete ☐ Auto-close |

Crack Detection

- Parameter setting
 - Signal to noise ratio
 - Crack grouping
 - Noise limits
 - Crack length









Classification



Infrastructure Data Collection Solutions

Parameter Setting

| Classify Options | |
|---|--|
| Classification Parameters | ✓ Save Settings |
| Classify Longitudinal Transverse Block Alligator Radius of Influence 4000 | Joints Classify Joints Sensitivity MinLength(m) |
| Target Area Start Row 0 Height Start Col 0 Width | 480 640 |
| Incremental classification Auto Close | Close Cjassify |

- Classification schemes
- Crack type
 - Longitudinal
 - Transverse
 - Block
 - Alligator





Classification Result

- Green longitudinal
- Blue transvserse
- Blue Edges auto detected lane width









Infrastructure Data Collection Solutions

| Rating Categories Category Details • Schemes Faigue_Center [4ligator] {Center} Delete • Categories Paigue_WP Congitudina_INWP • Details • Details Image: Congitudina_WP Image: Congitudina_WP • Details • Details Image: Congitudina_WP Image: Congitudina_WP • Mint Crack Length • Details Image: Congitudina_WP Image: Congitudina_WP • Metric stimation • Metrics Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Move Up Move Dn Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Move Dn Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Move Dn Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle Image: Congle | Rating Scheme Schemes FHWA03 New Scheme Delete Scheme | me | Rating |
|---|---|-------------------------------------|---|
| Cancel Crack Type OK □ Longitudinal ✓ Alligator Tranverse Block □ Location L. Edge □ L. WP □ L. Edge □ L. WP □ R. Edge □ Lane Center □ Lane Center | Rating Categories Category Details Fatigue_Center [Alligator] {Center} Fatigue_Edge [Alligator] {Center} Fatigue_WP Mongitudinal_NWP Longitudinal_WP [Metric Transverse [10] New Delete Move Up Move Dn Move Up Move Dn | Add Delete Edit Crack ty | Schemes Categories Details Metrics |
| | • Provides flexibility | Crack Type Crack Type Longitu | e OK Idinal ✓ Alligator rse Block Cancel L. Edge R. Edge Center |

-

Infrastructure Data Collection Solutions

Batch Processing

- Parameters for a given pavement;
 - Type
 - Condition
 - Level of distress
- Assign parameter set for given sections
- Process
- Review

SEPM – June 2-4, 2008

Infrastructure Data Collection Solutions

ROA

<u>Usage</u>

- 100% Automated
 SCANNER Surveys (U.K.)
 Various State DOTs (MD)
 - Network operation (typical)
- Manual intervention

 To see 100% of cracks
 Remove false positives
 Project level (typical)



QA/QC

- Detection is not perfect
- Series of steps and procedures for validation
 - Collection
 - Processing
 - Indices



Future

- Always getting better …
 - Algorithm improvements
 - Increase in detection rate
 - Reduction in false positives
 - Faster processing
 - 3D data augmentation

Thank you

... Questions?

