

Comparable Pavement Designs at GDOT

A. J. Jubran, P.E.
State Pavement Engineer

June 3

**2008 SEPMD
N Little Rock, Arkansas**

Comparable Pavement Designs

- **Current Design Practice**
- Constraints of the Current Practice
- Interim Direction for Comparable Designs

Flexible Pavement Design

- Based on the 1972 AASHTO Interim Guide for the Design of Pavement Structures
 - INPUTS: Soil Support Value, Regional Factor, Traffic Volumes and Truck percentages
 - OUTPUT: Structural Number (SN)

Flexible Pavement Design

- Layer Thicknesses (D_i) are multiplied by appropriate layer coefficients (a_i)

- $SN = a_{\text{surface}} D_{\text{surface}} + a_{\text{binder}} D_{\text{binder}} + a_{\text{base AC}} D_{\text{base AC}} + a_{\text{GAB}} D_{\text{GAB}}$

- The aggregate base course is part of the pavement structure

Typical Flexible Layer Thicknesses

- D_{surface} >> 1.25 or 1.5 inches
- $D_{\text{intermediate}}$ >> 2 inches
- $D_{\text{base AC}}$ >> 3 inches minimum
- D_{GAB} >> 8, 10, or 12 inches

Rigid Pavement Design Method

- Based on the 1981 Revision of the 1972 Interim AASHTO Design Guide
 - INPUTS: Effective Modulus of Subgrade Reaction (k_{eff}), Modulus of Rupture, Traffic Volumes, and Truck percentages
 - OUTPUT: slab thickness (D)

Typical Rigid Design Inputs

- Subgrade
 - k_{Subgrade} ranges from 110 to 200 pci
- Interlayer
 - $D_{\text{AC Interlayer}}$: 3 inches of 19 mm SP
- Aggregate Base
 - D_{GAB} : 8, 10, or 12 inches

Typical Rigid Design Inputs

- Concrete
 - Modulus of Rupture $f_r = 600$ psi
 - Design Tensile Strength
 $f_t = 0.75 * f_r \Rightarrow 450$ psi
 - $E_c = 3,200,000$ psi

Other Rigid Design Inputs

- Traffic loading volumes are same as in Flexible Design.
 - Rigid ESAL factors are higher.
- Load Transfer Coefficient (J) of 3.2
 - Assumes little edge support
- Reliability of 80% - 85%

ESAL Factors Used

- MU
 - Flexible = 1.500
 - Rigid = 2.680

- SU
 - Flexible = 0.400
 - Rigid = 0.500

- Vehicles
 - Flexible is not calculated
 - Rigid = 0.004

Comparable Pavement Designs

- Current Design Practice
- **Constraints of the Current Practice**
- Interim Direction for Comparable Designs

Constraints of the Current Practice

- The same GAB thickness is used for both pavement types
 - Geotechnical recommendation
- Flexible pavements are under-designed by 10%-15%
 - To allow future resurfacing in 10 years

Constraints: cont'd

- Rigid pavements are not under-designed
 - Difficult to overlay JPC with a thin JPC layer
- Rigid pavements have an interlayer
 - Permeability
- Total thicknesses of rigid pavements are greater than the flexible pavements

In General

- With a Soil Support Value of 2.0,
 - The required GAB layer thickness is 12 inches
 - The SN of the GAB is 1.92

And

- For the same soil, the k value of the subgrade is 110 pci,
 - The required GAB layer is also 12 inches
 - The rigid pavement has an additional layer of 3 inches of 19 mm SP
 - The effective k value (k_{eff}) is 260 pci

Heavy State Route Example

- Required flexible pavement
 - Required SN = $6.4 \pm$
 - Required Structure
 - 10.5 inches AC
 - 12 inches of GAB (30% Contribution)
- Required rigid pavement
 - Required Thickness = 10.3 inches
 - Additional Structure
 - 3 inches of 19 mm SP
 - 12 inches of GAB

Local Collector Example

- Required flexible pavement
 - Required SN = $4.7 \pm$
 - Required Structure
 - 6.5 inches AC
 - 12 inches of GAB (41% Contribution)
- Required rigid pavement
 - Required Thickness = 7 inches
 - Additional Structure
 - 3 inches of 19 mm SP
 - 12 inches of GAB

Another Look at Heavy State Routes

- Without the GAB and AC Interlayer,
 - $k_{\text{eff}} = k_{\text{subgrade}} = 110 \text{ pci}$
 - 10.8 inches of JPC Pavement is needed
- With the GAB and AC Interlayer
 - $k_{\text{eff}} = 260 \text{ pci}$
 - 10.3 inches of JPC Pavement is needed
 - Therefore, the GAB and Interlayer system reduced the total slab thickness by 5%

Another Look at Local Collectors

- Without the GAB and AC Interlayer,
 - $k_{\text{eff}} = k_{\text{subgrade}} = 110 \text{ pci}$
 - 7.5 inches of JPC Pavement is needed
- With the GAB and AC Interlayer
 - $k_{\text{eff}} = 260 \text{ pci}$
 - 7 inches of JPC Pavement is needed
 - Therefore, the GAB and Interlayer system reduced the total slab thickness by 7%

Design Summary

- In the flexible pavement, the GAB layer is an essential element of the final structure.
 - 30 to 40% of the SN
- In the rigid pavement, the GAB layer and the asphalt concrete Interlayer are
 - 5 to 10% of the thickness

Design Considerations

- Should the GAB layer and asphalt interlayer be eliminated?
 - **NO.** They are needed for handling constructability and permeability issues
- Can the GAB layer and asphalt interlayer be reduced?
 - **YES.** On state routes and not interstates.

History of the Current Practice

- GDOT up to early 2000's used to selectively use AC Interlayer on state route projects
- Interlayer was omitted when traffic volumes do not justify the additional costs
- Interlayer was used on Interstates

Performance

- These pre-2000 PCC Pavements with no interlayer are showing good performance
 - I-285 in Decatur County b/w I-20 to I-85
 - GA 400 in Fulton and Forsyth Counties
 - Zell Miller Parkway
- The newer PCC Pavements without the interlayer are also showing good performance to date
 - Homer Bypass

Comparable Pavement Designs

- Current Design Practice
- Constraints of the Current Practice
- **Interim Direction for Comparable Designs**

Proposed Direction For Comparable Designs

Implement MEPDG...

...In 2 – 3 years

BUT In the meantime...

Interim Direction For More Comparable Designs

■ Base Guidelines

- If $SSV < 3.0$,
use 10 inches GAB
- If $SSV \geq 3.0$,
use 8 inches GAB

■ Interlayer Guidelines

- For Interstates, use 3 inches of 19 mm SP
- For State Routes, 3 inches of 19 mm SP is waived, unless truck traffic (volume, ESALs, etc...) warrant its use.

Comparison of Designs

- The following pavement designs were prepared for
 - Life Cycle Cost Analysis (LCCA) / Pavement Type Selection (PTS)
 - Used Old and Interim Design Guidelines for Comparison

Old and Interim GAB Layers

| SSV | k_{subgrade} | GAB_{old} | GAB_{interim} |
|------------|-----------------------------|--------------------------|------------------------------|
| 2 | 110 | 12 | 10 |
| 2.5 | 130 | 12 | 10 |
| 3.0 | 150 | 10 | 8 |
| 3.5 | 175 | 10 | 8 |

Old And Interim AC Interlayer

And k_{design}

| Old | | Interim | |
|------------|---------------------|------------|---------------------|
| Interlayer | k_{design} | Interlayer | k_{design} |
| 3 | 260 | 0 | 175 |
| 3 | 280 | 0 | 195 |
| 3 | 270 | 0 | 195 |
| 3 | 300 | 0 | 215 |

Pavement Designs for $SSV = 2.0$

| Flexible | | Old Rigid | | Interim Rigid | |
|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| AC Layer (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) |
| 9.5 | 12 | 8.3 | 12 | 8.5 | 10 |
| AADT _{20 year} = 11,550 MU=1 SU=3 | | Interlayer = 3 in k _{design} = 260 pci | | Interlayer = 0 in k _{design} = 175 pci | |

Pavement Designs for $SSV = 2.5$

| Flexible | | Old Rigid | | Interim Rigid | |
|--------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|-------------------------------|--------------------|
| AC Layer (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) |
| 6.25 | 12 | 7.1 | 12 | 7.6 | 10 |
| AADT _{20 year} = 4720 | | Interlayer = 3 in | | Interlayer = 0 in | |
| MU=1 SU=5 | | k _{design} = 280 pci | | k _{design} = 195 pci | |

Pavement Designs for $SSV = 2.5$

| Flexible | | Old Rigid | | Interim Rigid | |
|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| AC Layer (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) |
| 11.5 | 12 | 10.2 | 12 | 10.4 | 10 |
| AADT _{20 year} = 9900 MU=6 SU=4 | | Interlayer = 3 in k _{design} = 280 pci | | Interlayer = 0 in k _{design} = 195 pci | |

Pavement Designs for $SSV = 3.0$

| Flexible | | Old Rigid | | Interim Rigid | |
|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| AC Layer (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) |
| 11.5 | 12 | 11.6 | 12 | 11.9 | 8 |
| AADT _{20 year} = 18,200 MU=7 SU=7 | | Interlayer = 3 in k _{design} = 270 pci | | Interlayer = 0 in k _{design} = 195 pci | |

Pavement Designs for $SSV = 3.5$

| Flexible | | Old Rigid | | Interim Rigid | |
|---|--------------------|--|--------------------|--|--------------------|
| AC Layer (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) | Slab Depth (inches) | GAB Layer (inches) |
| 6.5 | 12 | 10.3 | 12 | 10.6 | 8 |
| $AAADT_{20\text{ year}} = 8775$ MU=8 SU=3 | | Interlayer = 3 in $k_{\text{ design}} = 300\text{ pci}$ | | Interlayer = 0 in $k_{\text{ design}} = 215\text{ pci}$ | |

Questions ? ? ?

A photograph of a sunset over a body of water. The sun is low on the horizon, creating a bright orange and yellow glow that reflects on the water. The sky is filled with scattered clouds, some of which are illuminated by the setting sun. The overall color palette is dominated by warm tones of orange, yellow, and blue.