Update on the M-E Pavement Design Guide

Southeastern States Pavement Management and Design Conference

May 8, 2006

Goals:

Prepare an interim M-E pavement design guide for possible JTCP adoption.

> Advance the guide and software to a routine-use AASHTO product.

Key Work Elements:

- Independent review of the design guide and software (1-40A, 95% completed)
- Guidance for local and regional calibration (1-40B, in progress).
- Verification and local recalibration of HMA and PCC performance models (1-40B, completed)

Key Tasks:

Version 0.9 (Stage I) of the M-E Pavement Design Software (1-40D, completed)

Version 0.9 (Stage II) of the M-E Pavement Design Software (1-40D, in progress-Fall 2006).

Version 1.0 of the M-E Design Guide Software (1-40E, JTCP; FY 2007).

Key Tasks:

- Practical Guide to M-E Pavement Design and Recommended Manual of Practice (1-40H, FY 2007—Other Funding Options).
- Support Lead States Activities (1-40J).
 Workshop on M-E Pavement Design Guide (1-40J)—September 2006

Project 1-40A: Independent Review of the Recommended Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide and Software

- Assess reasonability, soundness, completeness of concepts, process, and procedures.
- Appraise consistency and sensitivity of results.
- Evaluate design reliability methodology.
- Compare predicted performance to historical results.

Project 1-40A: Independent Review of the Recommended Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide and Software

- Flexible design: <u>Marshall Thompson.</u>
- Rigid design: <u>Ernest Barenberg</u>.
- Reliability, composite pavement design, and final summary report: <u>Stephen</u> <u>Brown</u>.
- Low-volume road design: Lynne Irwin.

Project 1-40A: Independent Review of the Recommended Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide and Software

Milestones:

- December 2005: panel review of draft reports completed.
- March 2006: draft low-volume road design and 1-40A summary reports.
- April 2006: project panel decision on report publication—Summarize in Research Digests.

Project 1-40B: Local Calibration Guidance for the Recommended Mechanistic-Empirical Pavement Design Guide and Software

- Verify initial calibration error with independent data sets – PCC and HMA pavements.
- Local calibration of global prediction equation to reduce residual error and bias – HMA pavements.
- Prepare guidance for highway agencies for local calibration of the prediction models.
- Draft AASHTO recommended practice and case studies.

1-40B Findings: JPCP

> JPCP faulting model: Under predicts faulting (bias). Reasonable R² and SEE. > JPCP cracking model: Low R². SEE is moderate. Model predictions not biased (except MnRoad) way over prediction of cracking) > JPCP IRI model: Reasonable R² and SEE, no bias.

1-40B Findings: CRCP

> CRCP crack spacing model:

- Slightly under predicts for VA sections.
- CRCP crack width model:
 - Appears reasonable for VA sections.
- CRCP punchout model:
 - Predicts adequately with low error and no bias
- CRCP IRI model:
 - Somewhat under predicts. Prediction error is low.

1-40B PCC Recommendations

Correct JPCP faulting model bias through local/regional recalibration.

Slab cracking: Review thermal stress computation algorithms related to JPCP cracking. Fix potential anomalies and recalibrate cracking model as needed.

1-40B PCC Recommendations

Review CRCP crack spacing model. Fix potential anomalies and recalibrate punchout model as needed.

Recalibrate CRCP IRI model after including transverse cracking as an input.

HMA Rut Depth Predictions Using Local Calibration



Measured Rut Depths, inches

Overall Summary – HMA Rutting

Facility	R-squared		Standard Error	
	9-30	1-40B	9-30	1-40B
Full-Scale Test Tracks	0.47	0.85	0.158	0.0319
ALF Sections		0.81		0.0420
Roadway Sections		0.76		0.0741

Total Rut Depth: Predictions in Unbound Materials

- Verification runs confined to sections with trenches to quantify the measurable rutting within each pavement layer and foundation.
- Finding program over predicts the rutting in unbound layers.
- No simple method to revise predictions thus, local calibration confined to HMA.

Project 1-40D: Produce Software Version 0.9

Applied Research Associates and Arizona State University—Demonstrated Today! VERSION 0.8, November 2005

Extensive corrections and upgrades.

> 9-year weather files.

> Available online at <u>www.trb.org/mepdg/</u>.

Bug reporting and tracking database at <u>www.aratracker.com.</u>

Project 1-40D: Produce Software Version 0.9

V. 0.9, STAGE 1: ~ Spring 2006

> All performance models recalibrated with LTPP data through 2004.

Improved CRCP and HMA thermal cracking models.

Improved ICM with better moisture content and soil saturation predictions for unbound layers and subgrade.

Project 1-40D: M-EPDG Software Version 0.9

Special axle configuration module added.

- Improved Level 3 default values for unbound layers.
- Layer "discontinuity" problems in flexible pavement design resolved.

Nodal spacing reduced and mesh fineness increased in rigid pavement design.

Project 1-40D: M-EPDG Software Version 0.9

V. 0.9, STAGE 2: ~ Fall 2006

User-defined transfer functions.

- Option for modified calibration coefficients from 1-40B.
- Data-transfer interface with TrafLoad program.

Output and display of selected structural response (intermediate stresses, strains).

9-30A: Rutting Performance Model for HMA Mix and Structural Design

- > Performance models workshop (*Dec 2005*).
- Sample and test HMA materials from 30-40 field sections per M-E PDG Level 1.
- Verify and recalibrate M-E PDG rutting distress model with measured data.
- Support M-E Distress Prediction Models (M-E_DPM) database.

(ARA, Inc., completion November 2008)

M-E Pavement Design Guide Workshop

> Fall 2006

- > Invited Participants ≈ 100
- Travel Support—One Person for Each AASHTO Member Agency
- September 2006
- Location: Chicago, IL
- Version 0.90 Stage II Software



Any Questions?